

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION No.
09/903,896	07/12/2001	Ulrich Rosenbaum	DT-4009	2000
30377 7 DAVID TOR	590 04/29/2002 EN ESO	EXAMINER		
SIDLEY, AUS	TIN, BROWN & WOO	TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
875 THIRD AVE NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714 DATE MAILED: 04/29/200	2 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art	Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the corresponde	ince address—
eriod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	. 3	MONTHE EDOM T	HE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>F THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by c</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, t</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	rs, a reply within the statutory default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30) days will is from the mailing date of this c	ommunication.
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
<ul> <li>☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance expertise under Fy parts Quayle</li> </ul>	xcept for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	erits is closed in
accordance with the practice under the parts days	,		
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)		is/are pending in	the application.
Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/arg allowed	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.
<u> </u>		are subject to re	estriction or election
☐ Claim(s)		requirement	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appro	ved 🗆 disapproved.	
	e objected to by the Exar	miner	
	<i>3</i> <b>0.5</b> ,000.00		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	niner.		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	a 25.11.9.C. 8 ماهمان عثب نا	119 (a)–(d).	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 0.5.0. §	110 (4) (-).	
` ⋒ All □ Some* □ None of the:			
Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Applica	ation No	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	Decil lengiven ili Abbiio	ived	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	motional Rumau (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a))	
in this national stage application from the Intel	mational buleau (FOT No		·
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			ло_ <i>4</i> 13
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, F	<ul> <li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTC</li> </ul>		
/ Cited PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Part	tent Application, PTC

Office Action Summary

☐ Other...

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_3

Application/Control Number: 09/903,896

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. That the fuel is in a pressure vessel with a delivery valve places no further limitations on the fuel gas per se..

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 © as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer back to the preceding claims in the alternative. See MPEP 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/903,896

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the language "especially for setting implements for fastening elements" is indefinite.

In claim 3, "all" should be replaced by --of--.

In claim 5, it is not clear if applicant is reciting that the fragrances are produced from the recited items or if the fragrance has the recited characteristics. Clarification and/or correction are required. It should be noted that the characteristics of some of the recited items overlap.

In claim 6, "in the intended manner" has no antecedent support.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 01311191.

JP teaches a fuel for cigarette lighters comprising butane-containing fuel gas and a fragrance (see abstract in its entirety).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,896

Art Unit: 1714

Accordingly, JP teaching all the material limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu (US 4,844,713).

Yu teaches a fragrant fuel composition comprising liquefied petroleum gas and a fragrance such as bayberry (see abstract; col. 1, lines 5-14; Example 1). Yu teaches that the ratio of fragrance to gas is 0.05-10:100. The fuel composition is prepared by preparing the fragrance as a solution and adding butane to the solution by use of pressurized inert gas (see col. 2, lines 28-57).

Accordingly, Yu teaching all the material limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 01311191.

JP has been discussed above.

JP fails to teach the amount of fragrance present in the composition. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum or workable range of the fragrance through routine experimentation, especially since JP teaches that the lighter gas is a scented fragrance lighter gas.

Cephia D. Toomer

Patent Examiner-1714

Ctoomer:evh

4/9/02